

From Interval-Valued Probabilities to Interval-Valued Possibilities: Case Studies of Interval Computation under Constraints

L. Gutierrez¹, M. Ceberio¹, V. Kreinovich¹, R. L. Gruver¹,
M. Peña¹, M. J. Rister¹, A. Saldaña¹, J. Vasquez¹,
J. Ybarra¹, and S. Benferhat²

¹Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at El Paso,
El Paso, TX 79968, USA, lcgutierrez@miners.utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

²Centre de Recherche en Informatique de Lens CRIL, Université d'Artois,
F62307 Lens Cedex, France, benferhat@cril.univ-artois.fr

Need to Process...

How to Represent...

How to Compute...

Probabilities and...

Interval Computation...

Conditional...

Quantitative...

Quantitative...

Mean under Interval...

Home Page

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 1 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

1. Introduction and Motivation

- In many real-life situations, we need to make decisions.
- We must decide whether a pattern of behavior represents an intrusion – and if yes, activate defenses.
- In medicine, we need to decide on the best way to cure a patient.
- Each decision is based on our knowledge about the situation. This knowledge comes from two sources.
- First, we have records of previous experiences: e.g., records of different patients with different symptoms.
- We also have knowledge of experts: a skilled medical doctor can distinguish allergy cough from cold.
- Let us describe how to represent and process both types of knowledge.

Need to Process...

How to Represent...

How to Compute...

Probabilities and...

Interval Computation...

Conditional...

Quantitative...

Quantitative...

Mean under Interval...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 2 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

2. Empirical Knowledge: Reminder

- The available information is usually incomplete.
- Based on this partial information, we often cannot make a definite conclusion about the present.
- For example, repeated attempts to login:
 - may represent intrusion, and
 - may also mean that a user forgot which of his passwords works where, and tries them all.
- In the past, there may have been many different situations similar to what we observe now.
- Their detailed analysis showed that they have been caused by different phenomena.
- We can say how frequently phenomena of different type were encountered in similar past situations.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 3 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

3. Empirical Knowledge Is Usually Represented in Terms of Probabilities

- We can say how frequently phenomena of different type were encountered in similar past situations.
- For example, we may know that in situations with repeated logins,
 - in 10% of the cases, repeated logins were done by absent-minded users,
 - in 90% of the cases, it was an intrusion attempt.
- In other words, based on our prior experience, we know the *probabilities* of different phenomena.
- This is all the information that we can immediately deduce from the past.
- Thus, a general way to describe empirical knowledge is by describing the corresponding probabilities.

Need to Process...

How to Represent...

How to Compute...

Probabilities and...

Interval Computation...

Conditional...

Quantitative...

Quantitative...

Mean under Interval...

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 4 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

4. Need to Process Probabilities

- It is known that consistent preferences under such uncertainty can be described as follows:
 - we find utilities u_1, \dots, u_n of different outcomes;
 - we select a decision a s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(a) \cdot u_i \rightarrow \max$.
- So, we need to be able to compute the corresponding *expected values*.
- To compute the expected value, we need to know the probabilities $p_i(a)$.
- Sometimes, we know, e.g., $p(\text{cough} \mid \text{allergy})$, and we want $p(\text{allergy} \mid \text{cough})$.
- To compute these probabilities, we can use Bayes formula – which is based on *conditional probabilities*.
- So, we need to compute conditional probabilities $p(A \mid B)$.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 5 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

5. How to Represent Expert Knowledge?

- Sometimes, the expert can estimate the probabilities of different phenomena.
- However, often, an expert can only provide *partial information* about these probabilities.
- Often, an expert can only estimate *relative probabilities* $r_{ij} = p_i/p_j$, but not the actual values p_i .
- In this case, it is convenient to “normalize” these values so that max is 1, i.e., take $\mu_i = c \cdot p_i$, with $\max \mu_i = 1$.
- These normalized values μ_i are known as *possibilities*.
- Sometimes, the expert only knows the *order* between probabilities, i.e., knows the values μ_i such that

$$\mu_i > \mu_j \Leftrightarrow p_i > p_j.$$

- In this case, we can also normalize to 1: $\max \mu_i = 1$.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 6 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

6. How to Compute Conditional Probabilities and Possibilities

- If we knew p_1, \dots, p_n , and then learn that $i \in S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $p(i | S) = 0$ for $i \notin S$, and

$$p(i | S) = \frac{p_i}{\sum_{j \in S} p_j} \text{ if } i \in S.$$

- For possibilities μ_1, \dots, μ_n , after normalization, we get $\mu(i | S) = 0$ for $i \notin S$, and

$$\mu(i | S) = \frac{\mu_i}{\max_{j \in S} \mu_j} \text{ if } i \in S.$$

- For “qualitative” possibilities, it is sufficient to get the largest value to 1:

$$\mu(i | S) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in S \text{ and } \mu_i = \max_{j \in S} \mu_j \\ \mu_i & \text{if } i \in S \text{ and } \mu_i < \max_{j \in S} \mu_j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin S \end{cases}$$

7. Probabilities and Possibilities Are Often Only Known With Interval Uncertainty

- Probabilities and possibilities have been successfully applied – e.g., in intrusion detection.
- In practice, we usually only know the probabilities p_i and the possibilities μ_i with uncertainty.
- Often, we only know the bounds on each of these values, i.e., we know the *intervals* $\left[\underline{p}_i, \bar{p}_i \right]$ and $\left[\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{\mu}_i \right]$.
- We need to be able to compute the ranges of possible values of conditional probabilities and possibilities, etc.
- This is the problem that we will analyze in this talk.
- In many applications, we have a large amount of data to process, and need for real-time decisions.
- It is thus also important to make the range-computing algorithms as fast as possible.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 8 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

8. Need to “Narrow” Intervals: An Important Auxiliary Problem

- Before we start processing, it is important to find out which values from the intervals are actually possible.
- For example, if $n = 2$ and $p_1 = 0.5$, then – even if $[\underline{p}_2, \bar{p}_2] = [0, 1]$ – the only possible value of p_2 is 0.5.
- In general, we have a set of intervals $[\underline{x}_1, \bar{x}_1], \dots, [\underline{x}_n, \bar{x}_n]$ and a constraint $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c$:

– for probabilities, the constraint is $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$;

– for possibilities, the constraint is $\max_j \mu_j = 1$.

- For each i , we want to compute the “narrowed” interval

$$\{x_i \in [\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i] : \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_{i-1} \exists x_{i+1} \dots \exists x_n$$

$$(x_1 \in [\underline{x}_1, \bar{x}_1] \& \dots \& x_n \in [\underline{x}_n, \bar{x}_n] \& g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c)\}.$$

9. Narrowing Intervals: Case of Probabilities

- Since $p_i = 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} p_j$, we have $p_i \geq 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \bar{p}_j$ and $p_i \leq 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \underline{p}_j$. Thus, the new bounds on p_i are

$$\left[\max \left(\underline{p}_i, 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \bar{p}_j \right), \min \left(\bar{p}_i, 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \underline{p}_j \right) \right].$$

- Straightforward computation requires $O(n)$ time for each i , i.e., $O(n^2)$.
- It is faster to first compute $\underline{P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{p}_i$ and $\bar{P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{p}_i$, then we need linear time $O(n)$ to compute

$$\left[\max \left(\underline{p}_i, 1 - \bar{P} + \bar{p}_i \right), \min \left(\bar{p}_i, 1 - \underline{P} + \underline{p}_i \right) \right].$$

- We cannot compute faster: we need to compute n intervals, so we cannot use fewer than $O(n)$ steps.

10. Narrowing Intervals: Case of Possibilities

- A sequence of possibility intervals $\left[\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{\mu}_i \right] \subseteq [0, 1]$ is consistent if and only if $\max_i \bar{\mu}_i = 1$.
- If this sequence is consistent, then the corresponding narrowed intervals have the following form.
- If we have several intervals with $\bar{\mu}_j = 1$, then there is no narrowing:

$$\left[\mu_i^-, \mu_i^+ \right] = \left[\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{\mu}_i \right] \text{ for each } i.$$

- If there is only one interval with $\bar{\mu}_j = 1$, then:
 - for this interval, $\left[\mu_j^-, \mu_j^+ \right] = [1, 1]$, while
 - for all other intervals $i \neq j$, there is no narrowing:

$$\left[\mu_i^-, \mu_i^+ \right] = \left[\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{\mu}_i \right].$$

11. Interval Computation under Constraints: A General Problem

- Traditional interval computations:

- we know an algorithm $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$;
- we know the intervals $[\underline{x}_1, \bar{x}_1], \dots, [\underline{x}_n, \bar{x}_n]$;
- we want to compute the range

$$\{f(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1 \in [\underline{x}_1, \bar{x}_1] \& \dots \& x_n \in [\underline{x}_n, \bar{x}_n]\}.$$

- Interval computation under constraints:

- we know algorithms $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and a number c ;
- we know the intervals $[\underline{x}_1, \bar{x}_1], \dots, [\underline{x}_n, \bar{x}_n]$;
- we want to compute the range

$$\{f(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \forall i (x_i \in [\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i]) \& g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c\}.$$

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 12 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

12. Are All Combinations Possible?

- Often, we estimate the values of several characteristics

$$y_1 = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, y_m = f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

- Sometimes, there is an additional constraint relating these characteristics: $h(y_1, \dots, y_m) = d$.
- In this case, interval computation under constraints enable us to find the ranges $[\underline{y}_1, \bar{y}_1], \dots, [\underline{y}_m, \bar{y}_m]$.
- A natural question is: are all combinations (y_1, \dots, y_m) with $y_j \in [\underline{y}_j, \bar{y}_j]$ with $h(y_1, \dots, y_m) = d$ possible?
- In other words, can we find $x_i \in [\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i]$ for which $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c$ and $y_j = f_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$?
- If all comb. are possible, then the set S of all combinations (y_1, \dots, y_m) is $S = B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\underline{y}_1, \bar{y}_1] \times \dots \times [\underline{y}_m, \bar{y}_m]$.
- Otherwise, S is a proper subset of the box B .

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 13 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

13. Conditional Probabilities $q_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(i | S)$: Formulation of the Problem

- We know the intervals $[\underline{p}_i, \bar{p}_i] \subseteq [0, 1]$.
- We assume that these intervals have already been narrowed.
- We know the condition S , i.e., a set $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- We want to find, for each $i \in S$,
 - the range $[\underline{q}_i, \bar{q}_i]$ of possible values of the conditional probability

$$q_i = \frac{p_i}{\sum_{j \in S} p_j}$$

- when $p_j \in [\underline{p}_j, \bar{p}_j]$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$.

Need to Process...

How to Represent...

How to Compute...

Probabilities and...

Interval Computation...

Conditional...

Quantitative...

Quantitative...

Mean under Interval...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 14 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

14. What If We Ignore the Constraint

- If we divide both the numerator and the denominator of $q_i = \frac{p_i}{\sum_{j \in S} p_j}$ by p_i , we get

$$q_i = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \frac{p_j}{p_i}}.$$

- This expression is increasing in p_i and decreasing in all other values p_j , $j \neq i$; thus:
 - $q_i \rightarrow \min$ when $p_i \rightarrow \min$ and $p_j \rightarrow \max$ for $j \neq i$;
 - $q_i \rightarrow \max$ when $p_i \rightarrow \max$ and $p_j \rightarrow \min$ for $j \neq i$.

- So, we get the range $\left[\frac{\underline{p}_i}{\underline{p}_i + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \bar{p}_j}, \frac{\bar{p}_i}{\bar{p}_i + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \underline{p}_j} \right]$.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 15 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

15. If We Take Constraints Into Account, Not All Such Values Are Possible

- Reminder: $[q_1, \bar{q}_1] = \left[\frac{\underline{p}_i + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \bar{p}_j}{\underline{p}_i + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \bar{p}_j}, \frac{\bar{p}_i + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \underline{p}_j}{\bar{p}_i + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \underline{p}_j} \right]$.

- Let us take $n = 10$, $[\underline{p}_i, \bar{p}_i] = [0, 0.2]$ for all i , and $S = \{1, \dots, 9\}$.

- One can easily check that these intervals are already narrowed.

- The above formula leads to the upper bound

$$\bar{q}_1 = \frac{\bar{p}_1}{\bar{p}_1 + \sum_{j \neq 1} \underline{p}_j} = \frac{0.2}{0.2 + 0 + \dots + 0} = 1.$$

- However, $\bar{q}_1 = 1$ is only attained when $p_1 = 0.2$ and $p_2 = \dots = p_9 = 0$, when $\sum_{i=1}^{10} p_i = \sum_{i=1}^9 p_i + p_{10} \leq 0.4 < 1$.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 16 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

16. Conditional Probability: Bounds That Take Constraints Into Account

- We know the intervals $\left[\underline{p}_i, \bar{p}_i \right] \subseteq [0, 1]$ and the condition $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- We want to find, for each $i \in S$, the range $\left[\underline{q}_i, \bar{q}_i \right]$ of values $q_i = \frac{p_i}{\sum_{j \in S} p_j}$ when $p_j \in \left[\underline{p}_j, \bar{p}_j \right]$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$.
- *Solution:*

$$\underline{q}_i = \frac{\underline{p}_i}{\underline{p}_i + \min \left(\sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \bar{p}_j, 1 - \sum_{k \notin S} \underline{p}_k - \underline{p}_i \right)};$$

$$\bar{q}_i = \frac{\bar{p}_i}{\bar{p}_i + \max \left(\sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \underline{p}_j, 1 - \sum_{k \notin S} \bar{p}_k - \bar{p}_i \right)}.$$

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 17 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

17. Computing Conditional Probabilities: Asymptotically Optimal Algorithm

- Straightforward computations would require quadratic time: linear time for each of $2n$ values \underline{q}_i and \bar{q}_i .
- However, we can compute these value in asymptotically optimal linear time if we first compute

$$\bar{P}_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in S} \bar{p}_j, \underline{P}_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in S} \underline{p}_j, \bar{P}_{-S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \notin S} \bar{p}_k, \underline{P}_{-S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \notin S} \underline{p}_k :$$

$$\underline{q}_i = \frac{\underline{p}_i}{\underline{p}_i + \min\left(\bar{P}_S - \bar{p}_i, 1 - \underline{P}_{-S} - \underline{p}_i\right)};$$

$$\bar{q}_i = \frac{\bar{p}_i}{\bar{p}_i + \max\left(\underline{P}_S - \underline{p}_i, 1 - \bar{P}_{-S} - \bar{p}_i\right)}.$$

18. Not All Combinations of q_i Are Possible

- Let's take $n = 8$, $\left[\underline{p}_i, \bar{p}_i\right] = [0.1, 0.15]$, and $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
- For $p_1 = 0.15$, $p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 0.1$, $p_5 = p_6 = p_7 = 0.15$, and $p_8 = 0.1$, we get $q_1 = \frac{0.15}{0.15 + 0.1 + 0.1 + 0.1} = \frac{1}{3}$.
- For $p_1 = 0.1$, $p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 0.15$, $p_5 = p_6 = p_7 = 0.1$, $p_8 = 0.15$, we get $q_1 = \frac{0.1}{0.1 + 0.15 + 0.15 + 0.15} = \frac{2}{11}$.
- So, we can have $q_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $q_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $q_3 = \frac{2}{11}$, and $q_4 = \frac{2}{11}$.
- However, there are no p_i for which $q_i = \frac{p_i}{p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4}$.
- Indeed, due to monotonicity, the only way to have $q_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ is to have $p_1 = 0.15$ and $p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 0.1$.
- However, $q_2 = \frac{1}{3}$ is only possible for $p_1 = 0.1 \neq 0.15$.

19. Quantitative Conditional Possibility (Interval Case): Formulation of the Problem

- We know n intervals $\left[\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{\mu}_i \right]$.
- We assume that these intervals have already been narrowed.
- We know the condition S , i.e., a set $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- We want to find, for each $i \in S$,
 - the range $\left[\underline{q}_i, \bar{q}_i \right]$ of possible values of the quantitative conditional possibility

$$q_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\max_{j \in S} \mu_j}$$

- when $\mu_j \in \left[\underline{\mu}_j, \bar{\mu}_j \right]$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mu_j = 1$.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 20 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

20. Quantitative Conditional Possibility (Interval Case): Solution

- We want to find the range of $q_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\max_{j \in S} \mu_j}$ when

$$\mu_j \in [\underline{\mu}_j, \bar{\mu}_j] \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mu_j = 1.$$

- If the set S contains all the indices i for which $\bar{\mu}_i = 1$, then $\underline{q}_i = \underline{\mu}_i$ and $\bar{q}_i = \bar{\mu}_i$ for all $i \in S$.
- In all other cases:

$$\underline{q}_i = \frac{\underline{\mu}_i}{\max \left(\underline{\mu}_i, \max_{j \in S, j \neq i} \bar{\mu}_j \right)}; \quad \bar{q}_i = \frac{\bar{\mu}_i}{\max \left(\bar{\mu}_i, \max_{j \in S, j \neq i} \underline{\mu}_j \right)}.$$

- For each i , these formulas require n steps.
- So, a direct use of these formulas leads to a quadratic-time algorithm.

21. Asymptotically Optimal Computing of \underline{q}_i and \bar{q}_i

- If the set S contains all indices i for which $\bar{\mu}_i = 1$, then we return the values $\underline{q}_i = \underline{\mu}_i$ and $\bar{q}_i = \bar{\mu}_i$ for all $i \in S$.
- Otherwise, we compute the largest \bar{M} and the second largest \bar{S} of the values $\bar{\mu}_j$ corresponding to $j \in S$.
- We also compute the largest \underline{M} and the second largest \underline{S} of the values $\underline{\mu}_j$ corresponding to $j \in S$.
- If $\bar{\mu}_i = \bar{M}$, then $\underline{q}_i = \frac{\underline{\mu}_i}{\max(\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{S})}$, else $\underline{q}_i = \frac{\underline{\mu}_i}{\max(\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{M})}$.
- If $\underline{\mu}_i = \underline{M}$, then $\bar{q}_i = \frac{\bar{\mu}_i}{\max(\bar{\mu}_i, \underline{S})}$, else $\bar{q}_i = \frac{\bar{\mu}_i}{\max(\bar{\mu}_i, \underline{M})}$.
- We need to handle at least n input intervals.
- This algorithm is linear time $O(n)$ and is, thus, asymptotically optimal.

Need to Process...

How to Represent...

How to Compute...

Probabilities and...

Interval Computation...

Conditional...

Quantitative...

Quantitative...

Mean under Interval...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 22 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

22. Not All Combinations of q_i Are Possible

- Let's take $n = 4$, $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $[\underline{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_1] = [0.1, 0.2]$,
 $[\underline{\mu}_2, \bar{\mu}_2] = [\underline{\mu}_3, \bar{\mu}_3] = [0.1, 0.5]$, $[\underline{\mu}_4, \bar{\mu}_4] = [1, 1]$.
- Then, $[\underline{q}_1, \bar{q}_1] = [\underline{q}_2, \bar{q}_2] = [\underline{q}_3, \bar{q}_3] = [0.2, 1]$.
- Let us show that it is impossible to have $q_1 = 0.5$,
 $q_2 = 0.2$, and $q_3 = 1.0$.
- Indeed, since $\mu_2 \geq 0.1$ and $\max(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \leq 0.5$, we
have $q_2 = \frac{\mu_2}{\max(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)} \leq \frac{0.1}{0.5} = 0.2$.
- The only possibility to have $q_2 = 0.2$ is when $\mu_2 = 0.1$
and $\max(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = 0.5$.
- In this case, since $\mu_1 \leq 0.2$, we have
 $q_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{\max(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)} \leq \frac{0.2}{0.5} = 0.4$, so $q_1 \neq 0.5$.

23. Qualitative Conditional Possibility (Interval Case): Formulation of the Problem

- We know n intervals $\left[\underline{\mu}_i, \bar{\mu}_i \right]$.
- We assume that these intervals have already been narrowed.
- We know the condition S , i.e., a set $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$;
- We want to find, for each $i \in S$,
 - the smallest \underline{q}_i and the largest \bar{q}_i of possible values of the qualitative conditional possibility
 - when $\mu_j \in \left[\underline{\mu}_j, \bar{\mu}_j \right]$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mu_j = 1$.

Need to Process...

How to Represent...

How to Compute...

Probabilities and...

Interval Computation...

Conditional...

Quantitative...

Quantitative...

Mean under Interval...

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 24 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

24. Qualitative Conditional Possibility (Interval Case): Solution

- If the set S contains all the intervals for which $\bar{\mu}_i = 1$, then $\underline{q}_j = \underline{\mu}_j$ and $\bar{q}_j = \bar{\mu}_j$ for all j .
- Else, if $\underline{\mu}_i \geq \max_{j \in S, j \neq i} \bar{\mu}_j$, then $[\underline{q}_i, \bar{q}_i] = [1, 1]$.
- Else, if $\bar{\mu}_i \geq \max_{j \in S} \underline{\mu}_j$, then $\underline{q}_i = \underline{\mu}_i$ and $\bar{q}_i = 1$.
- Otherwise, $\underline{q}_i = \underline{\mu}_i$ and $\bar{q}_i = \bar{\mu}_i$.
- For each i , these formulas require n steps.
- So, a direct use of these formulas leads to a quadratic-time algorithm.

25. Asymptotically Optimal Computing of \underline{q}_i and \bar{q}_i

- If the set S contains all indices i for which $\bar{\mu}_i = 1$, then we return the values $\underline{q}_i = \underline{\mu}_i$ and $\bar{q}_i = \bar{\mu}_i$ for all $i \in S$.
- Otherwise, we compute the maximum \underline{M} of all the values $\underline{\mu}_i$, $i \in S$.
- Then, we compute the largest \bar{M} and the second largest \bar{S} of the values $\bar{\mu}_i$ corresponding to $i \in S$.
- After that, for each $i \in S$, we do the following:
 - if $\bar{\mu}_i = \bar{M}$ and $\underline{\mu}_i \geq \bar{S}$, we return $\underline{q}_i = \bar{q}_i = 1$;
 - if $\bar{\mu}_i < \bar{M}$ and $\underline{\mu}_i \geq \bar{M}$, we return $\underline{q}_i = \bar{q}_i = 1$;
 - otherwise, if $\bar{\mu}_i \geq \underline{M}$, we return $\underline{q}_i = \underline{\mu}_i$ and $\bar{q}_i = 1$;
 - for all other $i \in S$, we return $\underline{q}_i = \underline{\mu}_i$ and $\bar{q}_i = \bar{\mu}_i$.
- This algorithm takes linear time $O(n)$, and is, therefore, asymptotically optimal.

Need to Process...

How to Represent...

How to Compute...

Probabilities and...

Interval Computation...

Conditional...

Quantitative...

Quantitative...

Mean under Interval...

Home Page

Title Page

◀

▶

◀

▶

Page 26 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

26. Not All Intermediate Values Are Possible

- For quantitative possibilities, all values q_i between \underline{q}_i and \bar{q}_i are possible.
- In contrast, for qualitative possibilities, many intermediate values are not possible.
- Example: $n = 3$, $S = \{1, 2\}$, $[\underline{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_1] = [\underline{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_1] = [0, 0.5]$ and $[\underline{\mu}_3, \bar{\mu}_3] = [1, 1]$.
- In this case, we have $\underline{q}_1 = 0$ and $\bar{q}_1 = 1$.
- However, it is not possible to have $q_i = 0.6 \in [0, 1]$.
- Indeed, each value q_i coincides either with 1, or with one of the original values μ_i .

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 27 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

27. Mean under Interval Uncertainty

- We want to find the range of $E = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot x_i$ when $p_i \in [\underline{p}_i, \bar{p}_i]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$.
- The max is attained when larger values have larger probability.
- So, if we sort x_i in increasing order $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, we get $\bar{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \underline{p}_i \cdot x_i + p_k \cdot x_k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \bar{p}_i \cdot x_i$.
- Here, $p_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \underline{p}_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n \bar{p}_i$, so $\underline{p}_k \leq p_k \leq \bar{p}_k$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^k \underline{p}_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \bar{p}_i \leq 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \underline{p}_i + \sum_{i=k}^n \bar{p}_i$.
- This enables us to find k in linear time. Since sorting is $O(n \cdot \log(n))$, we get total time $O(n \cdot \log(n))$.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page

◀

▶

◀

▶

Page 28 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

28. Acknowledgments

- This work was supported in part:
 - by the National Science Foundation grants HRD-0734825 and HRD-1242122 (Cyber-ShARE Center of Excellence),
 - by the National Science Foundation grant DUE-0926721,
 - by Grants 1 T36 GM078000-01 and 1R43TR000173-01 from the National Institutes of Health, and
 - by grant N62909-12-1-7039 from the Office of Naval Research.
- This work was partly done when Salem Benferhat was visiting with the University of Texas at El Paso.

Need to Process ...

How to Represent ...

How to Compute ...

Probabilities and ...

Interval Computation ...

Conditional ...

Quantitative ...

Quantitative ...

Mean under Interval ...

Home Page

Title Page



Page 29 of 29

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit